

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,245	04/12/2001	Craig A. Rosen	6832.0018-00	3724
22852	7590 02/13/2004		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1631	.
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,245	ROSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Borin	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevable in the provided of the provide	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FiNAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-12 and 21-29 is/ar 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing (s) is objected if the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected to by the Education of the drawing (s) is objected to by the Education of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Response to restriction requirement filed 11/25/2003 is acknowledged. Applicant elected, with traverse, Group I, claims 1-4,13-20, drawn to conjugates of albumin or fragments thereof. In regard to Groups I and II applicant argues that claim 1 encompasses not only consecutive connection of albumin and therapeutic protein, but also insertion of protein into albumin. Examiner disagrees. There is no indication of the latter fusion proteins wherein protein is inserted into albumin; had it been there, it would have been restricted similarly to Groups I and II. Contrary, parts f,g,h,j,k of claims 1 which applicant did not cite in the traverse, are all drawn, specifically, to fused proteins wherein terminal of protein is connected to a terminal of albumin. Examiner maintains that the products of Group I and II possess distinctly different structures which will require different sequence and literature searches. In regard to Group III, Examiner apologizes for inadvertent error in claims belonging to the Group -Group III includes claim 21, not claims 19-37 as indicated in the restriction requirement. As to the grouping of claims, Examiner maintains that products of Groups I,II are capable of use separate from being used in a kit. The restriction requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 5-12, 21-29

Serial Number: 09/833245

Art Unit: 1631

are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

drawn to a non-elected groups. Cancellation of claims 5-12, 21-29 is requested.

As per election of species, applicant elected, with traverse, full-length albumin

Page 3

(SEQ ID No. 18). Applicant did not provide evidence that the species are obvious

variants of each other and thus should be examined together. Thus, conjugates of

full-length albumin are examined for initial examination on merits; other species will be

addressed if and when the elected species are determined to be allowable.

Claims 1-4, 13-20 are addressed to the extent they read on conjugates of full-

length albumin and a therapeutic protein or variant or fragment thereof.

Incorporation by reference

It is noticed that applicant incorporates by reference the entire content of more

than 900 patent application (pages 513-533 of specification). In view of election of

Group I, claims 1-4,13-20, drawn to conjugates of albumin, applicant is requested to

provide a list of the applications from the above list that are related to the elected

subject matter.

Further, as applicant does not specify that the information in the cited

exhaustive list of references is essential, it is deemed to refer to non-essential subject

matter.

Art Unit: 1631

Furthermore, applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 608.01(p) which instructs:

Mere reference to another application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re de Seversky, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973). In addition to other requirements for an application, the referencing application should include an identification of the referenced patent, application, or publication. Particular attention should be directed to specific portions of the referenced document where the subject matter being incorporated may be found.

Sequence Listing

The Sequence Listing filed 04/12/2001 was approved by STIC for matters of form.

Claim Objections

Claims 14-18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C.102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09/833245

Art Unit: 1631

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 5

Claims 1-4,13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Ballance et al (WO 9724445) or Yeh et al (US 5665863) or Becquart et al (WO 95/30759) or Becquart et al (EP 413622 or US 6165470)

The instant claims are drawn to conjugates of a protein or fragments thereof connected to albumin. There are numerous publications in prior art teaching that conjugating of therapeutic proteins to albumin increases their stability and/or activity. The following references are exemplary.

Ballance et al (WO 9724445) teaches fusion proteins of albumin and growth hormone, or fusions of variants of either, that are secreted by yeast and have increased serum and storage stability. The two domains of the fusion protein may be linked by a peptide cleavable by an endogenous proteinase.

Yeh et al (US 5665863) teaches fragments of G-CSF that are conjugated with albumin to increase their stability.

Art Unit: 1631

Becquart et al (WO 95/30759) teaches biologically active polypeptides that have the biological active domains of a protein inserted into an albumin. The fusion proteins are manufactured for use as pharmaceuticals. The integration of the biological active domains into the albumin structure is particularly advantageous when the protein of interest is too fragile to be used on its own. The proteins are manufactured by expression of a chimeric gene encoding it. Preferred sites for integration of the peptide into the serum albumin framework are identified from the structure of human serum albumin.

Becquart et al (EP 413622 or US 6165470) teaches albumin-viral receptor fusion proteins are produced by recombinant cells. A plasmid encoding an albumin-CD4 fragment fusion protein was constructed. *Kluyveromyces lactis* transformed with this plasmid produced the fusion protein which was purified and characterized. The protein inhibited binding of HIV-1 to CE713 cells somewhat better than did intact CD4 and thereby reduced HIV-1 to CE713 infectivity. The half-life of this fusion protein in rabbit blood was 34 h (relative to 0.23 h for CD4 and 47 h for albumin itself).

In regard to functional limitations of claims 2-4, when the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a particular property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses the properties

Serial Number: 09/833245

Art Unit: 1631

which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, the burden of proof shifts

Page 7

to applicant. In re Fitzgerald et al. 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594, (CCPA 1980). Since

the Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicants' protein

with the protein of the prior art, the burden is on applicant to show a novel or

unobvious difference between the claimed product and the product of the prior art.

In regard to claims 14-18, the limitations of the claims are not further limiting

(see objection to the claims above) and do not distinguish the products as claimed.

It is the Examiners position that all the elements of Applicant's invention with

respect to the specified claims are instantly disclosed by the teaching of the references

cited above.

Conclusion.

No claims are allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0713. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00

P.M. EST Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

Art Unit: 1631

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

February 3, 2004

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

mlb

Mm